

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement made within the Office Action dated December 4, 2009, Applicant, through its representative and attorney, hereby provisionally elect, **with traverse**, the invention of Group I, claim 1, for further prosecution.

The Office Action states that the inventions I and II can operate independent from one another since the illuminating device in invention I can work without the cellular phone of Invention II and visa (sic) versa. Claim 2 of invention II has been amended to be dependent on claim 1 so that the invention II can work solely with the invention I. Two or more claimed subcombinations, disclosed as usable together in a single combination, and which can not be shown to be separately usable, are not restrictable. See MPEP §806.05(d). Withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Please note that Applicant reserves the right to file divisional applications covering the subject matter of the non-elected Group. Applicant also reserves the right to rejoin any applicable non-elected Group.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. KAK-0019 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: December 22, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Brian K. Dutton

Registration No.: 47,255

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorney for Applicant